8500109

THE UNITED SHATES OF ANTERICA

TO ALL TO WHOM THESE; PRESENTS SHAM, COME;

MybriTech Seed International, Inc.

Withereas, there has been presented to the

Secretary of Agriculture

AN APPLICATION REQUESTING A CERTIFICATE OF PROTECTION FOR AN ALLEGED NOVEL VARIETY OF SEXUALLY REPRODUCED PLANT, THE NAME AND DESCRIPTION OF WHICH ARE CONTAINED IN THE APPLICATION AND EXHIBITS, A COPY OF WHICH IS HEREUNTO ANNEXED AND MADE A PART HEREOF, AND THE VARIOUS REQUIREMENTS OF LAW IN SUCH CASES MADE AND PROVIDED HAVE BEEN COMPLIED WITH, AND THE TITLE THERETO IS, FROM THE RECORDS OF THE PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION OFFICE; IN THE APPLICANT(S) INDICATED IN THE SAID COPY, AND WHEREAS, UPON DUE EXAMINATION MADE, THE SAID APPLICANT(S) IS (ARE) ADJUDGED TO BE ENTITLED TO A CERTIFICATE OF PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION UNDER THE LAW.

NOW, THEREFORE, THIS CERTIFICATE OF PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION UNDER THE LAW.

NOW, THEREFORE, THIS CERTIFICATE OF PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION IS TO GRANT UNTO THE SAID APPLICANT(S) AND THE SUCCESSORS, HEIRS OR ASSIGNS OF THE SAID APPLICANT(S) FOR THE TERM OF CIGHTER FROM THE DATE OF THIS GRANT, SUBJECT TO THE PAYMENT OF THE REQUIRED FEES AND PERIODIC REPLENISHMENT OF VIABLE BASIC SEED OF THE VARIETY IN A PUBLIC, REPOSITORY AS PROVIDED BY LAW, THE RIGHT TO EXCLUDE OTHERS FROM SELLING THE VARIETY, OR OFFERING IT FOR SALE, OR REPRODUCING IT, R IMPORTING IT, OR EXPORTING IT, OR USING IT IN PRODUCING A HYBRID OR DIFFERENT RIETY THEREFROM, TO THE EXTENT PROVIDED BY THE PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION ACT.

HE UNITED STATES SEED OF THIS VARIETY (1) SHALL BE SOLD BY VARIETY NAME ONLY AS SOF CERTIFIED SEED AND (2) SHALL CONFORM TO THE NUMBER OF GENERATIONS D BY THE OWNER OF THE RIGHTS. (84 STAT. 1542, AS AMENDED, 7 U.S.C. 2321 ET SEQ.)

WHEAT

'Bighorn'

In Esstimony Wherevot, I have hereunto set my hand and caused the seal of the Plant Variety Protection Office to be affixed at the City of Washington, D. C. this 30th day of December in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and eighty-eight.

Victor E. Lyng

Secretary of Agriculture

Atlosk

Senset Hear

Plant Variety Protection Office Agricultural Marketing Service

| · | | | APPROVAL EXPIRES 4-30-85 |
|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| T OF AGRICULTUR | 1E CE | | M APPROVED: OMB NO. 0681-0055 |
| | | | ication is required in order to determine plant variety protection certificate ^{ui} s to |
| IETY PROTEC | TION CERTIFICATE | | sued (7 U.S.C. 2421). Information is |
| | HON CENTIFICATE | held | confidential until certificate is issued |
| ns on reverse) | | (7 U | .S.C. 2426). |
| ~~~ | . TEMPORARY DESIGNATION | a. v | ARIETY NAME |
| tional, in | | , a | ichorn |
| | | D: | ighorn FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY |
| ite, and Zip (000) | a. A | PVP | O NUMBER |
| 1 ' | | 1 | 8500109 |
| 4 | (213) 392-3113 | | 0000109 |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | (Rotanical) | | DATE |
| | | ļģ | 4/12/85 |
| | | ΙĒ | TIME |
| Gramine | eae | <u> </u> | 8:30 🗓 A.M. 🔲 P.M. |
| , le l | ATE OF DETERMINATION | | AMOUNT FOR FILING |
| | | ٥ | s 1,800 |
| ľ | | = | DATE |
| Se | ptember. 1981 | # | 4/12/85 |
| | | Ĭ. | AMOUNT FOR CERTIFICATE |
| | | | \$ 20000 |
| | | 12 | DATE |
| <u> </u> | | | October 27,1988 |
| DRATION | | 12, 0 | DATE OF INCORPORATION |
| : | | | February 1983 |
| ENTATIVE(S), IF | ANY, TO SERVE IN THIS APPLIC | ATIO | N AND RECEIVE ALL PAPERS |
| | _ | | <u>-</u> |
| | | 512 N | ATIONAL, INC. |
| | | | (316) 755-1249 |
| -v / | 7204 | e code | ¹ (215) 592-3113 |
| | | | |
| the Variety (See Se | ction 52 of the Plant Variety Pro | tection | n Act.) |
| | | | |
| | m Plant Variety Protection Offic | e.) | |
| ety. | | _ | -1 |
| cant's Ownership. | (SEE EXHIBI | T / | 9) |
| OF THIS VARIET | A/ | | Y AS A CLASS OF CERTIFIED |
| • | | | |
| VARIETY BE | 17. IF "YES" TO ITEM 16, W BEYOND BREEDER SEE | HICH 27 | CLASSES OF PRODUCTION |
| | r) Assa | *** | egistered X Certified |
| FOR PROTECTION | 9.39 | <u> </u> | 74 |
| 2 | | ••• | Yes (If "Yes," give date) |
| | | | اسا |
| | | | No |
| ED FOR SALE OF | MARKETED IN THE US OR | THE | R COUNTRIES 7 |
| | | | v Yes (If "Yes," give names |
| September 1 | 984 | | LAJ of countries and dates) |
| <u> </u> | · | | No No |
| e of basic seeds of | this variety will be furnished | with t | the application and will be re- |
| ch regulations as m | ray be applicable. | ~ stii t | me appression and min of the |
| | | .tv +: | nd believe(s) that the variety is |
| tion 41, and is en | titled to protection under the | provis | sions of Section 42 of the Plant |
| | to protection under the | r | |
| entation herein ca | n jeopardize protection and re | sult i | n penalties. |
| | | | ATE / |
| | | آ ر | 3/5-18- |
| no | | 1 | 3/2) 100 |
| | | | |
| | TETY PROTECTORS ON reverse) TENAL, INC. TENAL, INC. TENAL, INC. TENAL, INC. TENAL, INC. TOWNL, INC. SENTATIVE(S), IF A COUNTY INC. SENTATIVE(S), IF A COUNTY INC. TOWNL, INC. TOW | 7200 AL, TANDER (Include area code) 78W296 100 755-1249 (215) 592-3113 11. FAMILY NAME (Botanical) Gramineae 9. DATE OF DETERMINATION SEPTEMBER, 1981 11. SPANICAL SERVE IN THIS APPLICATION SENTATIVE(S), IF ANY, TO SERVE IN THIS APPLICATION SENTATIVE (S) OF THIS ANY, TO SERVE IN THIS APPLICATION SENTATIVE (S) OF THIS ANY, TO SERVE IN THIS APPLICATION SENTATIVE (S) OF THIS ANY, TO SERVE IN THIS APPLICATION SENTATIVE (S) OF THIS ANY, TO SERVE IN THIS APPLICATION SENTATIVE (S) OF THIS ANY, TO SERVE IN THIS APPLICATION SENTATIVE (S) OF THIS ANY, TO SERVE IN THIS APPLICATION SENTATIVE (S) OF THIS ANY, TO SERVE IN THIS APPLICATION SENTATIVE (S) OF THIS ANY, TO SERVE IN THIS APPLICATION SENTATIVE (S) OF THIS ANY, TO SERVE IN T | APPLIFER SERVICE IETY PROTECTION CERTIFICATE Ins on reverse) 2. TEMPORARY DESIGNATION 7. TOWAL, INC., 7. TOWAL OF CODE SERVICE IN THE SECONDAL OF COMMENTATION SEPTEMBER, 1981 IN., GIVE FORM OF ORGANIZATION (Corporation, SENTATIVE(S), IF ANY, TO SERVE IN THIS APPLICATION SENTATIVE(S), IF ANY, TO SERVE IN THIS APPLICATION SENTATIVE(S), IF ANY, TO SERVE IN THIS APPLICATION FOR PROTECTION OF ORGANIZATION (Corporation, SENTATIVE(S), IF ANY, TO SERVE IN THIS APPLICATION WITCH THE SECONDAL OF THE VARIETY NAME OF COMMENT SUBMITTED the Variety See Section 52 of the Plant Variety Protection of the Variety (See Section 52 of the Plant Variety Protection of the Variety See Section 52 of the Plant Variety Protection of the Variety See Section 52 of the Plant Variety Protection of the Variety Protection of the Variety Be SOLD BY VARIETY NAME ONLINE OF THIS VARIETY BE SOLD BY VARIETY NAME ONLINE SEYOND BREEDER SEED? WARRETY BE 17. IF "YES." TO ITEM 16, WHICH BEYOND BREEDER SEED? FOR PROTECTION OF THE VARIETY IN THE U.S.? FOR PROTECTION OF THE VARIETY IN THE U.S.? FOR PROTECTION OF THE VARIETY IN THE U.S.? ED FOR SALE, OR MARKETED IN THE U.S. OR OTHE September 1984 e of basic seeds of this variety will be furnished with the content of the provincentation herein can jeopardize protection and result is entitled to protection under the provincentation herein can jeopardize protection and result is entitled to protection and result is entitled. |

200

12/58

FORM WA-470 (7-84) (Edition of 3-84 is obsolete.)

EXHIBIT A

ORIGIN AND BREEDING HISTORY OF THE VARIETY

Bighorn is the result of hybridization, individual plant selection, and individual head selection from the cross: Warrior/Minn. III-54-12//Sturdy/3/Winoka/Ark made by the Northrup King Company. Our pedigree for this variety is N5283-1N-3F-4E-ON.

Bighorn is the result of a double cross between two F_1 's we made in the greenhouse at Eden Prairie, MN in 1972. The female F_1 parent was Warrior/Minn III-54-12//Sturdy. The Winoka/Ark F_1 was used as the male. The parental F_1 's were made in the greenhouse in 1973 at Eden Prairie. The F_2 was space-planted at York, Nebraska during 1973-74. Seed from the F_2 plant was seeded in the fall of 1974 at York, Nebraska and Moorhead, Minnesota. In 1975, a F_3 plant was selected at Moorhead. Seed from this F_3 plant selection was planted as a F_4 plant progeny row at Eden Prairie and York. The F_4 row at York had excellent winter survival while many nursery materials were lost in 1975-76 due to winterkill. A F_4 plant was selected at Eden Prairie in 1975 and the seed was planted as a F_5 plant progeny row at York.

In 1976-77 the F₅ plant progeny row at York was harvested to provide seed for 1978 preliminary yield trials at York, Nebraska; Billings, Montana; and Othellow Washington. The preliminary yield trial code number was 78W296 which was retained as the permanent experimental variety number. Intermediate yield trials were planted in 1978-79 by using bulk seed from the preliminary trial at York.

From the York preliminary trial (F₆) ten heads were selected and threshed individually for F₇ head-purification plots at Yuma, Arizona in 1978-79. Each head-row was harvested at Yuma and the seed was used to evaluate sister lines in a replicated yield trial at York in 1979-80. Five head-row sib lines were retained for 1980-81 replicated yield trials at York and Moorhead. After harvest in 1981 one head-row line was identified as the best sister line, 79AWH10940 was increased as breeders seed at Yuma in 1981-82. This F₈ increase from an F₇ head-row was identified as 82AWH20074.

Bighorn, 78W296, was entered in the 1982 and 1983 Northern Regional Performance Nursery for testing experimental hard red winter wheats. In 1981-82 NRPN trials the average yield of Bighorn over 18 locations was 3211 kg/ha or 118% of Roughrider and 110% of Warrior. The 1982-83 NRPN average yield for Bighorn, across 17 locations was 3355 kg/ha or 115% of Roughrider and 109% of Warrior.

This variety appears uniform and stable. There are no unusual or characteristic variations that have been observed to date. Breeders seed, lot 7658, was produced at Othello, Washington

EXHIBIT A

ORIGIN AND BREEDING HISTORY OF THE VARIETY Continued

during 1982-83. In 1983-84 Foundation seed was produced near Marienthal, Kansas. This production was inspected and approved by the Kansas Crop Improvement Association:

In July, 1984, Rohm and Haas Seeds Inc. purchased the hard red winter wheat breeding germ plasm from Northrup King Company. The ownership of Bighorn was transferred to Rohm and Haas Seeds at this time.

EXHIBIT B

NOVELTY STATEMENT

Bighorn is most similar to "Roughrider" but differs in plant height. Plant height for Bighorn averages 17-18 cm shorter than Roughrider, depending upon the environment. The range for height difference between Bighorn and Roughrider has been observed to be 11-24 cm based on data accumulated over a four year period at two different locations.

Table 1. Heading dates for Bighorn in comparison to check varieties grown in replicated small plot trials at York, NE; and Moorhead, MN.

| Location and Year | Headi Bighorn | ng Date (Days from C | Jan. 1) Roughrider |
|-------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|
| and rear | DIGNOLII | Cencura 76 | Noughi Idei |
| York, NE | | | |
| 1980 | 148 | 145 | 148 |
| · · 1981 | 142 | 136 | 142 |
| 1982 | 156 | 152 | 157 |
| 1983 | 159 | 157 | 160 |
| 4 - Year Average | 151.3 | 147.5 | 151.8 |
| Moorhead, MN | | | |
| 1980 | 155 | • | 155 |
| 1981 | 160 | - | 164 |
| 1983 | 170 | 169 | 171 |
| 1984 | 159 | Basis syringspropagation | 159 |
| 4 - Year Average | 161.0 | - | 162.3 |

Table 2. Relative maturity for Bighorn in comparison to check varieties grown in replicated small plot trials at York, NE; and Moorhead, MN.

| Location | Re | lative Maturity1/ | (1-9) |
|----------------|--|-------------------|-----------|
| and Year | Bighorn | Centurk 78 | Roughride |
| | - <u> </u> | | <u> </u> |
| York, NE | 8 | 5 | 6 |
| 1981 | 7 | 4 | 6 |
| 1983 | 7 | 5 | 6 |
| - Year Average | 7.3 | 4.7 | 6.0 |
| oorhead, MN | | | |
| 1981 | 3 | ~ | 4 |
| 1983 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 1984 | 5 | Comp. | 6 |
| - Year Average | 4.3 | - | 5.7 |

 $[\]underline{1}$ / 1-9 Scale where 1=very early and 9=very late.

Table 3. Height for Bighorn in comparison to check varieties grown in replicated small plotstrials at York, NE; and Moorhead, MN.

| Location | <u> </u> | Height (cms) | |
|------------------|----------|--------------|------------|
| and Year | Bighorn | Centurk 78 | Roughrider |
| | | | - 1 |
| York, NE | | | |
| 1980 | 85 | 100 | 103 |
| 1981 | 85 | 93 | 1.15 |
| 1982 | 90 | 106 | 101 |
| 1983 | 103 | 117 | 117 |
| 4 - Year Average | 90.8 | 104.0 | 109.0 |
| Moorhead, MN | · | | |
| 1980 | 57 | · ••• | 81 |
| 1981 | 89 | - | 106 |
| 1983 | 79 | 81 | 92 |
| 1984 | 85 | - | 101 |
| 4 - Year Average | 77.5 | - | 95.0 |

Table 4. Winter survival scores for Bighorn in comparison to check varieties grown in replicated small plot trials at York, NE and Moorhead, MN from 1980-1984.

| Location | | nter Survivall(Centurk 78 | Roughrider |
|----------------|---------|-------------------------------|------------|
| and Year | Bighorn | Cencur /o | NOUGHI IGE |
| York, NE | | | |
| 1980 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| 1982 | 9 | 8 | 9 |
| - Year Average | 9.0 | 8.5 | 9.0 |
| Moorhead, MN | | | |
| 1980 | 9 | | 9 |
| 1981 | 9 | - | 9 |
| 1983 | 8 | 6 | 9 |
| 1984 | 9 | | 8 |
| - Year Average | 8.8 | - | 8.8 |

^{1/} Winter survival scale where 9 is best with 90-100% survival.

Table 5. Field ratings for leaf and stem rust of Bighorn in comparison to check varieties grown in replicated small plot trials at York, NE and Moorhead, MN.

| | | Leaf Rust | | | Stem Rust | |
|-------------------|---------|---------------|-----------------|---------------------------|---------------|---------------------------------------|
| Location and Year | Bighorn | Centurk 78 | Rough- rider | Bighorn | Centurk 78 | Rough- rider |
| York, NE | | 34.7 | • | | | |
| 1981 | 10MS | 108 | 105 | $\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2\pi^2}$ | | ~ <u>;</u> |
| 1982 | 105 | 15S | 70S | R | TS | R |
| 1983 | 58 | 108 | 30S | TS | TS | TS |
| oorhead, MN | | | | | | |
| 1980 | 0 | - | TS | *** | - | - |
| 1981 | 5MS | - | 30S | · ** | - | • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • |
| 1983 | . 10S | 205 | 10S | R | R | R |
| 1984 | 105 | - | 40S | *** | - | - |

Quality characteristics of Bighorn and checks at York, Nebraska in 1979 and 1980.

| | | 12/2 | | | Lyau | |
|-----------------|----------|---------|----------|-----------|----------------|-------------|
| Characteristics | Bighorn | Centurk | Scout 66 | Bighorn | Agate | Roughrider |
| Wheat Protein | 13.85 | 14.40 | 335 | | 4 | 4 |
| Test Weight | 58.5 | - | ್ರ | , ru | 9 | 2.0 |
| Milling Ext. % | 72.6 G | 69.5 G- | S CO | 72.4 G | 72.2 G | 71.5 G |
| Farinograph | | | | | | |
| Absorption | 57.5 | 59.0 | | • | O | |
| Peak | 8.00 | 0 | 5.5 | 5.5 | | • • • |
| Stability | 19.50 | 28.00 | | | 17.50 | |
| MTI | 20 | | . 0 |) |) • • LC | |
| Valorimeter | 73. | 78 | 09 | 67. | 79 | 8 9 |
| Flour | | | | | | |
| Ash | .438 | .371 | 366 | 464 | ~ | 417 |
| Protein | 12.85 | 13.25 | 12.50 | 13,20 | 13,30 | 13,90 |
| Ваке | | | 1 | * 4. * | | • |
| Absorption | +H 0.08 | | C | c | < | • |
| Mix | 5.50 G- | 6.50 G | 4.25 | 90 | יוני עיני |) 1 1 |
| Dough | ဗ | , |) } | • | 2 | 3 |
| Loaf Vol. cc | 1000 VG | | | : 15,1 | | |
| | O O | | . 10 | , in | e 1 | |
| Crumb Texture | 9 | | | | | |
| | 97 G | | | | , r | |
| Bake Score | .• | | 32 G | 32 | 28 61 | 32 G- |
| Overall Score | -9 09 | 62 | 62 | 60 | נט | ָרָל ע |

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE LIVESTOCK, POULTRY, GRAIN & SEED DIVISION BELTSVILLE, MARYLAND 20705

EXHIBIT C (Wheat)

OBJECTIVE DESCRIPTION OF VARIETY WHEAT (TRITICUM SPP.)

| NAME OF APPLICANT(S) | |
|--|--|
| The state of the s | FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY |
| Rohm and Haas Seeds Inc. Address (Street and No. or R.F.D. No., City, State, and ZIP Code) | 8500109 |
| | VARIETY NAME OR TEMPORARY DESIGNATION |
| Independence Mall West | |
| Philadelphia, PA 19105 | Bighorn |
| Place the appropriate number that describes the varietal character of the | |
| Place a zero in first box (e.g. 0 8 9 or 0 9) when number is eith | ter 29 or less or 9 or less. |
| | |
| 1 1 = COMMON 2 = DURUM 3 = EMMER 4 = SPELT 5 = POL | ISH 6 = POULARD 7 = CLUB |
| 2. TYPE: See a Compared the two terms of the Compared to the C | A Visit of the Control of the Contro |
| 2 1 = SPRING 2 = WINTER 3 = OTHER (Specify) 2 | 1.= SOFT 3 = OTHER (Specify) 2 = HARD |
| | |
| 2 1 = WHITE 2 = RED 3 = OTHER (Specify) | |
| 3. SEASON - NUMBER OF DAYS FROM EMERGENCE TO: | |
| | |
| FIRST FEOWERING | LAST FLOWERING |
| 4. MATURITY (50% Flowering): | |
| NO. OF DAYS EARLIER THAN |] = ARTHUR 2 = SCOUT 3 = CHRIS |
| | 4 = LEMHI 5 = NUGAINES 6 = LEEDS |
| 0 4 NO. OF DAYS LATER THAN | 7=Centurk 78 |
| 5. PLANT HEIGHT (From soil level to top of head): | |
| η Q Q CM. HIGH | and the state of t |
| - Mr. de - Marie - Mar | |
| CM. TALLER THAN | 7=Centurk 78 |
| | 1 = ARTHUR 2 = SCOUT 3 = CHRIS |
| 1 2 CM. SHORTER THAN | 4 = LEMHI 5 = NUGAINES 6 = LEEDS |
| PLANT COLOR AT BOOTING (See reverse): 7. A | NTHER COLOR: |
| | |
| | I=YELLOW 2=PURPLE |
| STEM: | |
| Anthocyanin:] = ABSENT 2 = PRESENT 2 | Waxy bloom: 1 = ABSENT 2 = PRESENT |
| Hairiness of last | |
| internode of rachis: 1 = ABSENT 2 = PRESENT | Internodes: 1 = HOLLOW 2 = SOLID |
| 0 3 NO. OF NODES (Originating from node above ground) | 2 CM. INTERNODE LENGTH BETWEEN FLAG LEAF AND LEAF BELOW |
| . AURICLES: | |
| 1 Anthocyanin: 1 = ABSENT 2 = PRESENT 2 | Hairiness: = ABSENT 2 = PRESENT |
| LEAF: | |
| Flag leaf at 1 = ERECT 2 = RECURVED | |
| booting stage: 3 = OTHER (Specify): 2 | Flag leaf: 1 = NOT TWISTED 2 = TWISTED |
| Hairs of first leaf sheath: 1 = ABSENT 2 = PRESENT 2 | Waxy bloom of flag leaf sheath: 1 = ABSENT 2 = PRESENT |
| 1 3 MM. LEAF WIDTH (First leaf below flag leaf) | 9 CM. LEAF LENGTH (First leaf below flag leaf): |

| 11. HEAD: | | | |
|---|---|--|--|
| 3 Density: 1 = LAX | 2 = DENSE 3=Middense | Shape: 1 = TAPERING 4 = OTHER (S | G 2 = STRAP 3 = CLAVATE pecify) |
| 4 Awnedness: 1 = AWN | LESS 2 = APICALLY AWNLETED 3 | = AWNLETED 4 = AWNED | komposite (j. 1905.) – A messer i menerije i 1900. Positiva i menerije i positiva i menerije i positiva i menerije i positiva i menerije i positiva i menerije i |
| Color at maturity: 5 = | WHITE 2 = YELLOW 3 = PINK 4 = BROWN 6 = BLACK 7 = OTHE | RED R (Specify): | |
| 0 8 CM, LENGTH | | 0 8 MM WIDTH | |
| 12. GLUMES AT MATURIT Length: 1 = SHORT (3 = LONG (C | CA. 7 mm.) 2 = MEDIUM (CA. 8 mm.) | 2 Width: 1 = NARROW (3 = WIDE (CA. | 4 mm.) |
| | G 2 = OBLIQUE 3 = ROUNDED E 5 = ELEVATED 6 = APICULATE | 3 Beak: 1 = OBTUSE | 2 = ACUTE 3 = ACUMINATE |
| 13. COLEOPTILE COLOR: | ······································ | 14. SEEDLING ANTHOCYAN | IIN. |
| 1 1 = WHITE 2 = RE | D 3 = PURPLE | 1 = ABSENT 2= | |
| 15. JUVENILE PLANT GRO | WTH HABIT: | | A control of the cont |
| 1 = PROSTRATE | 2 = SEMI-ERECT 3 = EREC | T | |
| 16. SEED: | | | |
| 1 Shape: 1 = OVATE | 2 = OVAL 3 = ELLIPTICAL | 1 Cheek: 1 = ROUNDED | 2 = ANGULAR |
| Brush. 1 = SHORT | 2 = MEDIUM 3 = LONG | 1 Brush: 1 = NOT COL | LARED 2 = COLLARED |
| Phenol reaction (See instructions): | 1 = IVORY 2 = FAWN 3 = LT. BROWN 4 = BROWN 5 = BLACK | t in the property of the prope | |
| 3 Color: 1 = WHITE | 2 = AMBER 3 = RED 4 = PURPLE | 5 = OTHER (Specify) | |
| 1 6 MM. LENGTH | 0 3 MM. WIDTH | GM. PER 1000 SE | EDS |
| 17. SEED CREASE: | | | |
| Width:] = 60% OR LI | ESS OF KERNEL 'WINOKA' | Depth: 1 = 20% OR i | ESS OF KERNEL 'SCOUT' |
| 2 = 80% OR LE | SS OF KERNEL 'CHRIS' | 2 = 35% OR L | ESS OF KERNEL 'CHRIS' |
| 3 = NEARLY A | S WIDE AS KERNEL 'LEMHI' | 3 = 50% OR L | ESS OF KERNEL 'LEMHI' |
| 18. DISEASE: (0 = Not Teste | d, 1 = Susceptible, 2 = Resistant) | | N. |
| 2 STEM RUST (Racee) OFBS. QS RSHS | HS 2 LEAF RUST | O (Races) | LOOSE SMUT |
| 1 POWDERY MILDEW | O BUNT | OTHER (Specify) | |
| 19. INSECT: (0 = Not Teste | i, 1 = Susceptible, 2 = Resistant) | | |
| 0 SAWFLY | 0 APHID (Bydv.) | O GREEN BUG | O CEREAL LEAF BEETLE |
| OTHER (Specify) | HESSIAN FLY | 1 GP 0 A | 1 b 0 c |
| | RACES: | 0 0 0 E | 0 F 0 G |
| 20. INDICATE WHICH YARIE | TY MOST CLOSELY RESEMBLES THAT S | UBMITTED: | |
| CHARACTER | NAME OF VARIETY | CHARACTER | NAME OF VARIETY |
| Plant tillering | Winoka | Seed size | Winoka |
| Leaf size | Sturdy | Seed shape | Winoka |
| Leaf color | Sturdy | Coleoptile elongation | Sturdy |
| Leaf carriage | Sturdy | Seedling pigmentation | Sturdy |
| | | | |

INSTRUCTIONS

GENERAL: The following publications may be used as a reference aid for the standardization of terms and procedures for completing this form:

- (a) L.W. Briggle and L. P. Reitz, 1963, Classification of Triticum Species and Wheat Varieties Grown in the United States, Technical Bulletin 1278, United States Department of Agriculture.
- (b) W.E. Walls, 1965, A Standardized Phenol Method for Tauting Wheat Seeds for Varietal Purity, contribution No. 28 to the handbook of seed testing prepared by the Association of Official Seed Analysts. (See attachment.)

LEAF COLOR: Nickerson's or any recognized color fan should be used to determine the leaf color of the described variety.

EXHIBIT D

ADDITIONAL DESCRIPTION OF THE VARIETY

Bighorn is a cultivar of Triticum aestivum L. with winter growth habit. The kernels are hard, red, and ovate in shape with rounded cheeks. Kernel length is midlong and width is midwide. The brush is short and not collared. Phenol reaction of the seed is brown-black similar to "Wakeland". The spike is awned, middense, and tapered in shape. The glumes are white, glabrous, midlong and midwide. Glume shoulders are oblique. Beaks are acuminate in shape and 8-16 mm long.

The coleoptile color is white and seedling anthocyanin is absent. Juvenile plant growth is prostrate. Plant color at booting is green. Waxy bloom is present on the stem and flag leaf sheath. The auricles normally do not have anthocyanin and short hairs are present on the auricles. Generally three nodes originate from the node above ground.

Bighorn is a semidwarf variety with winterhardiness similar to "Roughrider". Maturity is medium late which is slightly later than Roughrider. Days to heading are nearly equal to Roughrider. Bighorn has adult plant resistance, probably from the Sturdy parent, to some races of leaf rust (Puccinia recondita). Seedling stem rust reactions to physiologic races of Puccinia graminis f. sp tritici. have been conducted by the Cereal Rust Laboratory. The following reactions were observed to these isolates:

| <u>Isolate</u> | Reaction |
|------------------------------|-------------|
| 15B-2 TNMH TNMK | s s |
| 151 QFBS QFHS | 0 0 |
| 11-32-113 | |
| RHRS RSHS RTQQ RTQS | s 0 s |

It's postulated Bighorn has the <u>Tt-l</u> gene for adult plant stem rust resistance from the "Ark" parent since there is a low incidence of rust in the field at the adult stage.

Milling and baking quality is satisfactory. Bake mix time is somewhat longer than Roughrider while bake absorption tends to be lower than Roughrider.

Table 7. Quality characteristics of Bighorn and checks at York, Nebraska in 1981.

| Characteristics | Bighor | n | Agate | | Roughr | ider |
|-----------------|--------|------------|-------|----|--------|-------|
| Wheat Protein | 13.25 | | 14.20 | | 14.2 | 0 |
| Test Weight | 60.4 | | 61.3 | | 60.2 | |
| Milling Ext. % | 71.5 | G | 70.3 | G- | 69.0 | G- |
| Farinograph | | | | | | |
| Absorption | 53.8 | | 58.6 | | 60.6 | |
| Peak | 13.00 | | 12.00 | | 10.0 | 0 |
| Stability | 32.00 | | 20.50 | | 26.0 | 0 |
| MTI | 10 | | 5 | | 10 | |
| Valorimeter | 86 | | 85 | | 80 | |
| Flour | | | | | | |
| Ash | .42 | 7 | . 35 | | | 80 |
| Protein | 12.25 | | 13.10 | | 13.0 | 0 |
| Bake | | | | | | |
| Absorption | 60.5 | F + | 62.5 | | 64.0 | |
| Mix | 7.00 | G- | 6.50 | | 6.5 | 0 -G- |
| Dough | 5 | G- | 6 | G | 6 | G |
| Loaf Vol. cc | ଃ60 | G- | 910 | G | 1000 | ٧G |
| Crumb Grain | 4 | F | 5 | G | 6 | G |
| Crumb Texture | 5 | G | 6 | G | 6 | G |
| Crumb Color | 97 | SD | 97 | G | 97 | SD |
| Bake Score | 24 | F+ | 28 | G- | 31 | G |
| Overall Score | 52 | G- | 57 | G- | 61 | G- |

WHEREAS, Rohm and Haas Seeds Inc., a Delaware corporation, with its principal offices at Independence Mall West, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19105 ("Rohm and Haas Seeds"), is the owner of the entire right, title and interest to the following varieties, U.S. Plant Variety Certificates and applications therefore:

| Variety | Certificate No. | Grant Date |
|---------|-----------------|-------------|
| Prodax | 7500005 | 06/30/75 |
| Solar | 7800010 | 03/29/79 |
| 711 | 8100013 | 12/10/81 |
| Walera | 8200002 | 06/17/82 |
| 715 | 8300068 | 01/31/86 |
| 835 | 8200006 | 03/11/82 |
| 817 | 8200033 | 06/17/82 |
| 830 | 8200094 | 09/23/82 |
| Norak | 8500105 | 03/11/88 |
| | | |
| | Application No. | Filing Date |
| / | | |
| Bighorn | 8500109 | 04/12/85 |
| Pony | 8500107 | 04/12/85 |
| Rodeo | 8500106 | 04/12/85 |

WHEREAS, HybriTech Seed International, Inc., a Delaware corporation and wholly-owned subsidiary of Monsanto Company, with its principal offices at 800 North Lindbergh Boulevard, St. Louis, Missouri 63167 ("HybriTech") is desirous of acquiring the entire interest in the aforementioned varieties, certificates and applications;

NOW, THEREFORE, for good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which are hereby acknowledged, Rohm and Haas Seeds does hereby sell, assign and transfer unto HybriTech, the entire right, title and interest in and to the varieties, certificates and applications for its use and benefit and for its successors and assigns.

IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, Rohm and Haas Seeds intending to be legally bound has caused this assignment to be executed by its duly authorized officer.

ROHM AND HAAS SEEDS INC.

By Marin a Mystery

Title Vice Precident

| COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA |) | |
|------------------------------|---|----|
| |) | SS |
| COUNTY OF PHILADELPHIA | j | • |

On this 25 day of fully, 1988, before me appeared forward. Metaelffus h of Rohm and Haas Seeds Inc., the person who signed this instrument, who acknowledged that he signed it as a free act on behalf of Rohm and Haas Seeds, Inc. with authority to do so.

Notary Public

ELAINE SHERMAN Notary Public, Phila., Phila. Go. My Commission Expires June 1, 1892

